



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/653,428	09/03/2003	Kenichi Nagayama	041514-5306	1888

55694 7590 06/22/2006

DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH (DC)
1500 K STREET, N.W.
SUITE 1100
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-1209

EXAMINER

CHAMBLISS, ALONZO

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2814

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

E/K

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/653,428	Applicant(s) NAGAYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner Alonzo Chambliss	Art Unit 2814	

All Participants:

(1) Alonzo Chambliss.

(2) Paul A. Fournier.

Status of Application: Non-final rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 June 2006

Time: 4:20PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
EP 845924 and EP 1083776

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Alonzo Chambliss
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant's attorney was called because the examiner inadvertently cited Hosokawa (EP 0845924 A2) in the 102b rejection. The examiner relied upon the Hosokawa (EP 1083776 A1) reference to teach the limitations in claims 1-5. Elements 12, 15, and 16 for example are found in paragraphs 64-67, 111-113, 120-122, table 4; and Figs. 1-3 in EP 1083776 A1. .